## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

TRUSTEES OF THE NEW JERSEY

1:18-cv-11493-NLH-AMD

B.A.C. HEALTH FUND, et al.,

MEMORANDUM

Plaintiffs,

OPINION & ORDER

v.

BFI CONCRETE, LLC,

Defendant.

## APPEARANCES:

NICOLE MARIE MARIMON VIRGINIA & AMBINDER LLP 40 BROAD STREET 7TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10004

On behalf of Plaintiffs

## HILLMAN, District Judge

WHEREAS, pending before the Court is the motion of Plaintiffs, Trustees of the New Jersey B.A.C. Health Fund, et al., for default judgment against Defendant, BFI Concrete, LLC, for contractual liquidated damages, statutory interest and attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 185(a) and 1132(g)(2); and

WHEREAS, Section 515 of the Employee Retirement Income

Security Act, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, provides that "[e]very Employer

who is obligated to make contributions to a multiemployer plan .

. under the terms of a collectively bargained agreement shall

. . . make such contributions in accordance with . . . such agreement"; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs claim that from April 16, 2018 through April 20, 2018, employees of BFI performed Covered Work on a project in Kearny, New Jersey ("Kearney Project"), and in connection with Covered Work performed on the Kearney Project, BFI failed to make \$2,187.90 in required contributions to the Funds and failed to remit \$242.51 in required dues check-offs to the Union; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs further claim that from April 11, 2018 through April 26, 2018, employees of BFI performed Covered Work on the Arizona Ice Tea project (the "Arizona Project") in Keasbey, New Jersey, and in connection with Covered Work performed on the Arizona Project, BFI failed to make \$1,597 in required contributions to the Funds and failed to remit \$177 in required dues check-offs to the Union; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs claim that from January 11, 2018 through February 23, 2018, employees of BFI performed Covered Work on a project located in Piscataway, New Jersey ("Piscataway Project"), and in connection with Covered Work performed on the Piscataway Project, BFI failed to make \$7,664.78 in required contributions to the Funds and failed to remit \$856.24 in required dues check-offs to the Union; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs claim that from April 9, 2018,

employees of BFI performed Covered Work on the Route 130 Dayton project (the "Route 130 Project"), and in connection with Covered Work performed on the Route 130 Project, BFI failed to make \$1,337.15 in required contributions to the Funds and failed to remit \$163.50 in required dues check-offs to the Union; and

WHEREAS, shortly after the Funds commenced this action against BFI, the general contractor on the project, GMAC, remitted all contributions and dues check-offs owed in connection with the Projects to the Funds and the Union, and to date, there remains an outstanding balance of interest, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs; and

WHEREAS, as provided by the Collection Policy, the Funds calculated interest at the prescribed rate of 10% compounded annually from the date following the date that each contribution was due to the Local Funds up to August 31, 2018, the date all contributions and dues check-offs were paid, which yielded the amount of \$213.01. Interest was calculated at the prescribed rate of 15% compounded annually from the date following the date that each contribution was due to the International Funds up to August 31, 2018, the date all contributions and dues check-offs were paid which yielded the amount of \$473.22.1 Additionally,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The collective bargaining agreement between BFI and the Union provides that BFI "agrees to be bound by all actions taken by the Trustees of these Funds pursuant to said Agreements and Declarations of Trust." (Docket No. 8 at 2.) Pursuant to the

pursuant to the Collection Policy, BFI owes twenty percent (20%) of the principal amount that was due in liquidated damages. The liquidated damages due are in the amount of \$2,557.37; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs seek judgment against Defendant in the amount of \$3,243.60, plus attorneys' fees and costs; and

WHEREAS, Defendant was served with Plaintiffs' complaint on July 13, 2018; but

WHEREAS, Defendant failed to file an answer or otherwise appear, and on December 10, 2018, the Clerk granted Plaintiffs' request for the entry of default against Defendant pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a); and

WHEREAS, because Defendant still has not appeared in this action, Plaintiffs have filed the instant motion for default judgment against Defendant pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b); and

relevant trust agreements, the Trustees have promulgated a policy for collection of delinquent contributions (the "Collection Policy"). The Collection Policy provides, "The New Jersey B.A.C. Health Fund shall serve as collection agent for each of the Funds listed above [New Jersey B.A.C. Health Fund, the New Jersey B.A.C. Annuity Fund, the Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 4 Pension Fund, the Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local No. 5 of New Jersey Pension Fund, and the New Jersey Bricklayers & Allied Craftworkers Administrative District Council Apprentice Fund] as well as for the International Union of Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Administrative District Council of New Jersey (the "Union"), the Industry Advancement Fund, and the International Union Pension Fund." (Docket No. 8-3 at 1.) The Collection Policy sets forth a 10% interest rate for the local funds and a 15% interest rate for the international funds.

WHEREAS, although every "well-pled allegation" of the complaint, except those relating to damages, are deemed admitted, <a href="Comdyne I. Inc. v. Corbin">Corbin</a>, 908 F.2d 1142, 1149 (3d Cir. 1990), before entering a default judgment the Court must decide whether "the unchallenged facts constitute a legitimate cause of action, since a party in default does not admit mere conclusions of law," <a href="Chanel">Chanel</a>, 558 F. Supp. 2d at 535 (citation omitted); and

WHEREAS, the decision to enter a default judgment is left to the Court's discretion, but "'in exercising its discretion, the trial court must consider three factors: 1) whether the plaintiff will be prejudiced if the default is lifted; 2) whether the defendant has a meritorious defense; and 3) whether the default was the result of the defendant's culpable misconduct.'" International Union of Operating Engineers of Eastern Pennsylvania and Delaware Benefit Pension Fund v. N.

Abbonizio Contractors, Inc., 134 F. Supp. 3d 862, 865 (E.D. Pa. 2015) (quoting Hritz v. Woma Corp., 732 F.2d 1178, 1182 (3d Cir. 1984)); and

WHEREAS, with regard to the second two factors, the Court finds that because Defendant was properly served but has failed to appear in this action, it is unknown whether Defendant has a meritorious defense to Plaintiffs' claims, and the inference is that Defendant's default was the result of its own culpable

## misconduct; and

WHEREAS, with regard to the first factor, the Court finds that Plaintiffs will be prejudiced if default judgment is not entered against Defendant, because under ERISA, a plan is still required to pay benefits to participants regardless of whether an employer makes its contributions to the plan, and "[i]f the plan at issue is part of a multi-employer contribution system, as here, any delinquent contributions owed by a covered employer impairs the plan's ability to pay both the beneficiaries of the delinquent employer as well as employees of companies who have made their contributions." Id. (citing 29 C.F.R. § 2530.200b-2) (other citation omitted); and

WHEREAS, if an employer fails to make the contributions as required by the collective bargaining agreement and § 515, then the employer is subject to the provisions of Section 502(g)(2) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2), which provides for the mandatory award of the following if a judgment under Section 515 is entered in the Fund's favor:

- (A) the unpaid contributions, 2
- (B) interest on the unpaid contributions,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plaintiffs are not seeking default judgment as to unpaid contributions because the general contractor paid them to Plaintiffs. Whether the general contractor has sought reimbursement from Defendant for its obligations to pay the contributions is not an issue before the Court.

- (C) an amount equal to the greater of:
  - (i) interest on the unpaid contributions; or
  - (ii) liquidated damages provided for under the plan in an amount not in excess of 20 percent (or such higher percentage as may be permitted under Federal or State law) of the amount determined by the Court under Subparagraph (a),
- (D) reasonable attorney's fees and costs of the action, to be paid by the Defendant, and
- (E) such other legal or equitable relief as the court deems appropriate; and

WHEREAS, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have provided competent documentation to support their demand under 29 U.S.C. §§ 185(a) and 1132(g)(2) for contractual liquidated damages (\$2,557.37), statutory interest (\$686.23), and attorneys' fees and costs (\$6,297.50);

THEREFORE,

IT IS on this <u>13th</u> day of <u>May</u>, 2019

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Default Judgment [7] be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. An Order of Judgment will be entered separately.

At Camden, New Jersey

s/ Noel L. Hillman
NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S.D.J.